

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/631,339

Applicant(s)

WITTWER ET AL.

Examiner

William H. Beisner

Art Unit

1744

## All Participants:

(1) William H. Beisner.

(2) Rebecca L. Ball.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 10 November 2004

Time: 12:05pm

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

*All prior art rejections of record.*

Claims discussed:

*All pending and proposed claims.*

Prior art documents discussed:

*All prior art of record.*

## Part II.

### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Ms. Ball was informed that the proposed amendment would not be entered and an advisory action would be forthcoming since the proposed amendments would raise new issues that would consider further consideration and/or search.*

## Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)